RESEARCH ARTICLE





Predictors of mobility domain of healthrelated quality of life after rehabilitation in Parkinson's disease: a pilot study

Thomas Bowman, Elisa Gervasoni, Riccardo Parelli, Johanna Jonsdottir, Maurizio Ferrarin, Davide Cattaneo^{*} and Ilaria Carpinella

Abstract

Background: Parkinson's disease impacts health-related quality of life (HRQoL), however no studies inquired on predictors of HRQoL changes after rehabilitation. This study assessed the relationship between mobility domain of HRQoL measured by Parkinson's Disease Questionnaires-39 (PDQ-39) and clinical-demographic characteristics and developed a model predicting changes after rehabilitation.

Methods: Subjects with Parkinson's disease underwent rehabilitation treatment and completed the following predictors: 10-m walking test (10MWT), Timed Up and Go (TUG), Berg Balance scale (BBS), Activities-specific Balance Confidence scales (ABC), Freezing of Gait (FOGQ) and PDQ-39. Two general linear models were calculated to predict the relationship between HRQoL at baseline and to predict HRQoL changes after rehabilitation.

Results: Forty-two subjects (age 74.9 ± 7.3 years, Hoehn&Yahr 2.8 ± 0.6) completed the baseline evaluation. The first model (multiple R² = 0.59, F = 5.86, P < 0.001) showed that ABC (B = -0.51, CI = -0.86 to 0.15, R² = 0.41, P = 0.005) and FOGQ (B = 2.38, CI = 1.03 to 3.73, R² = 0.07, P = 0.001) were statistically significant predictors of mobility aspect of HRQoL at baseline. Thirty seven subjects completed the rehabilitation sessions, data were entered in the second model (multiple R² = 0.40, F = 4.24, P < 0.004) showing that gender (B = -5.12, CI = -9.86 to -0.39, R² = 0.23, P = 0.034), Hoehn&Yahr (B = 10.93, CI = +3.27 to +18.61, R² = 0.22, P = 0.006) and PDQ-39 mobility at baseline (B = -0.38, CI = -0.63 to -0.14, R² = 0.55, P = 0.002) were statistically significant predictors of changes of the mobility aspect of HRQoL.

Conclusions: Balance confidence and Freezing of Gait are associated with the mobility aspect of HRQoL. Changes in mobility domain of HRQoL (as assessed by PDQ-39) are likely to be greater in males, in people at higher stages of the disease and in people with more severe limitation in mobility domain of HRQoL (as assessed by PDQ-39) before rehabilitation. Results might be different when considering different outcomes or different measures for the same outcome (performance mobility test instead of self-report questionnaires). Further investigations are needed to better understand other components of HRQoL in addition to mobility.

Trial registration: NCT02713971 registered March 8, 2016.

Keywords: Neurological disease, Parkinson, Neurorehabilitation, Prediction, Health-related quality of life, Participation

* Correspondence: dcattaneo@dongnocchi.it

IRCCS Fondazione Don Carlo Gnocchi, Via Capecelatro 66, 20148 Milan, Italy



© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Background

Parkinson's Disease (PD) is a common chronic neurodegenerative disease affecting 1% of the population over 60 years of age with incidence and prevalence 1.5 to 2.0 times higher in men than in women [1]. PD affects physical, mental and psychosocial health, impacting quality of life (QoL) [2, 3]. QoL is a multi-dimensional construct defined as the individual self-perceived life function. [3, 4] Most of the existing questionnaire used to describe and assess subjects' QoL actually measure Health-Related QoL (HRQoL) defined as the aspects of QoL most affected by ill health and self perceived health status. [5] Recent studies found that several health-related factors such as disease severity, disability, gait impairments, complications arising from medication therapy, depressive symptoms, psychosocial well-being and autonomic dysfunction are important contributors to HRQoL in people with PD [6, 7].

Specific tools have been developed to measure HRQoL in people with PD and to assess emotional status, cognitive functions and life's health before and after rehabilitation [3]. The Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire (PDQ-39) is a widely used tool to evaluate different domains related to HRQoL in people with PD, including mobility, activities of daily living, emotional well-being, stigma, social support, cognition, communication and pain [8]. These domains of HRQoL have been found related to subjects' demographic and clinical characteristics such as age, gender, disease severity, disease duration, motor and non-motor PD specific symptoms, and subsequent limitations in mobility and gait [9].

Mobility and gait limitations are major issues for people with PD and these clinical characteristics affect their daily life activities and participation in society. Several studies have been carried out to understand the relationship between mobility, gait limitations and HRQoL with mixed results [10, 11]. Other authors [3] showed that clinical characteristics (dynamic balance and executive function) result as significant predictors of HRQoL but this finding was in contrast by previous studies [6, 7] and the current literature is not exhaustive with respect to this topic. Further, the effect of other clinical characteristics of mobility on HRQoL, such as self-perception of walking and balance abilities, that were not considered in previous studies, need further investigation. Finally we know that studies assessing HRQoL tend to use questionnaires reducing this multi-dimensional construct as a summary index [5] but no studies have investigated the relationship between the mobility components of HRQoL construct (measured by PDQ-39 mobility domain) and the clinical and demographic characteristics.

Since mobility domain of PDQ-39 is a fundamental component of HRQoL construct we hypothesize that

knowledge about clinical and demographic characteristics associated to mobility domain of PDQ-39 will be useful for physiotherapist and clinicians to better understand the component of the HRQoL related to mobility and the complexity of the whole construct.

In addition, no previous studies inquired on variables that could predict changes in HRQoL after rehabilitation. Since in the rehabilitation field it has become increasingly important to understand what is associated to improvement in HRQoL, we hypothesize that clinical and demographic characteristics can predict changes in the mobility domain of PDQ-39 measured before and after rehabilitation to predict which subjects will improve in this domain after rehabilitation. For this reason, predictive models could be performed to improve the design of interventions by providing a framework of individual characteristics and parameters prone to change thus improving estimation of patient care needs and improvement in therapeutic plans. Furthermore, predictive models could be used to provide sample size estimates for trials aimed at improving HRQoL in people with PD.

In keeping with our research hypothesis, the aims of this pilot study were to: 1) assess the relationship between HRQoL mobility domain of PDQ-39 at baseline and clinical and demographic characteristics of a sample of people with PD, and 2) develop a model to predict changes in HRQoL mobility domain of PDQ-39 after rehabilitation.

Methods

Design

This study combines a cross-sectional design to assess the relationship between HRQoL mobility domain of PDQ-39 at baseline and clinical and demographic characteristics of a sample of people with PD and a longitudinal design (assessments pre and post treatment) to develop a model to predict changes in HRQoL mobility domain of PDQ-39 after rehabilitation.

Subjects

Forty-two people with PD were consecutively recruited for the study between 2013 and 2015. The eligible population included all PD (inpatient and outpatient) living in the catchment areas requiring rehabilitation. Inclusion criteria were: subjects older than 18 years, Hoehn & Yahr stage between I and IV, ability to walk for 6 m with or without walking aids, ability to maintain standing position for at least 10s but inability to stay on one leg stance more than 10s, having mini-mental state examination (MMSE) > 24 to be able to fulfill patients reported outcome and stable drug therapy (to be monitored throughout the treatment period). People with PD who had deep brain stimulation surgery, mini-mental state examination (MMSE) < 24 and changed drug therapy before the end of the treatment were excluded.People with PD underwent individual training consisting of 20 sessions of 45 min each, 3 times a week. They received balance and gait exercises defined by clinical staff for each patient with or without biofeedback. Exercises were performed in different sensory conditions and/or including a dual-task. Few minutes of muscle stretching, and mobilization exercises were also provided. Each session was performed while subjects were in the "on" medication state, for further information on the study protocol see Carpinella et al [12]

Subjects signed an informed consent form before the beginning of the study. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Don Gnocchi Foundation.

Clinical assessment

Subjects were assessed by a rater before and after the 20 rehabilitation sessions. Each assessment was performed while subjects wore normal shoes and were in the "on" medication state.

The clinical assessment included: 1) The 10-m walking test (10MWT) used to assess gait speed. Subjects walked with or without walking device at a preferred walking speed along a 10-m walkway. The time required to cover the middle 6 m of the walkway was recorded [13]; 2) The Timed Up and Go (TUG) test, used to assess mobility and dynamic balance, by measuring the time taken by the subject to rise from a chair, walk 3 m, turn around, walk back to the chair and sit down [14]; 3) The Berg Balance Scale (BBS), used to measure subject's balance during tasks involving sitting, standing and positional changes. The scale consists of 14-items that rates function on as scale from 0 (worst) to 4 (best). Maximum total score is 56 [15]; 4) The Activities-specific Balance Confidence (ABC) questionnaire, used to assess balance confidence and balance self-perception. Score range is from 0 to 100, where 100 means high self-perception in balance skills [16]; 5) The Freezing of Gait Questionnaire (FOG-Q), used to assess freezing during walking and its severity. It consists of six items with a score ranging from 0 to 24, where 0 means no freezing [17]; 6) The Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale-Motor Section (UPDRS III), used to assess disease severity and disease-specific impairments, through 27 motor items about the clinical spectrum of motor symptoms typical of PD, such as tremor, rigidity, bradykinesia and impairment of axial motor function [6]; 7) The Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) is used to assess cognitive aspects of mental functions and consists of 11 simple questions or tasks grouped into 7 cognitive domains. The score ranging from 0 to 30 and score of < 24 is the generally an accepted cutoff indicating the presence of cognitive impairment [18] and 8) The Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire (PDQ-39), used to evaluate HRQoL in people with PD. It consists of 39 items divided in 8 domains, items 1 to 10 for mobility, items 11 to 16 for activities of daily living, items 17 to 22 for emotional well-being, items 23 to 26 for stigma, items 27 to 29 for social support, items 30 to 33 for cognition, items 34 to 36 for communication and items 37 to 39 for pain. Scores for each domain are expressed as a percentage (100% indicating greater dissatisfaction/disruption within a domain). The total score is computed by summing the 8 domain scores divided by the total number of domains. In the present study, the mobility domain of the PDQ-39 was used to describe the mobility aspect of HRQoL [8, 19].

Statistical analysis

Parametric descriptive statistics were used to describe demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample and to detect the presence of outliers. Data distribution was checked for normality and data with a skewness score greater than 1 or – 1 were transformed, BBS cubed scores and TUG logarithm scores were calculated. Paired T test was used to compare general improvement of PDQ-39 mobility domain before and after rehabilitation.

Aim 1) To assess the relationship between HRQoL mobility domain of PDQ-39 at baseline and clinical and demographic characteristics the Hosmer and Lemeshow [20] two-step approach has been used to decrease redundancy and to reduce number of predictors due to small sample size. In the first step univariate analyses (Pearson correlation coefficient and independent sample T test) between mobility domain of PDQ-39 at baseline and clinical and demographic characteristics were calculated. In the second step (multivariate analysis) only variables associated at univariate analyses (P < 0.2 with PDQ-39 mobility domain at baseline) were entered in a general linear model as independent variables while PDQ-39 mobility domain score at baseline was used as dependent variable.

Aim 2) The same two-step statistical approach [20] of the first aim has been used to develop a model to predict changes in HRQoL mobility domain of PDQ-39 after rehabilitation. In the first step univariate analysis (Pearson correlation coefficient and independent sample T test) was calculated between PDQ-39 mobility domain change score (post rehabilitation score - baseline score) and demographic and clinical variables (including PDQ-39 mobility domain at baseline) to calculate associations between HRQoL changes and clinical predictors. In the second step (multivariate analysis) clinical predictors associated at univariate analysis) were entered in the

second general linear model as independent predictors while PDQ-39 mobility domain change score was used as dependent variable. For both models we checked for collinearity (variance inflation factor < 6), distribution of residuals and influential points and the level of statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using STATIS-TICA 9.0.

Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the recruited sample (28 males and 14 females) are shown in Table 1.

Aim1-assessment of the relationship between HRQoL mobility domain of PDQ-39 at baseline and clinical and demographic characteristics

Table 2 shows correlations and *p*-values between demographic and clinical characteristics and PDQ-39 mobility domain at baseline.

Males had statistically significant lower scores on the PDQ-39 mobility domain compared to female (Male: 41.9 ± 24.7, Female 61.1 ± 21.7, P = 0.02). Correlations between PDQ-39 mobility domain at baseline and gender; H&Y, 10MWT, TUG, BBS, ABC, UPDRS part 3 and FOGQ (P < 0.2) were entered in the multivariate model (Table 3) investigating effect of baseline values on mobility aspect of HRQoL. No statistically significant correlations were found between PDQ-39 mobility domain at baseline and age, time from onset and MMSE (Table 2) therefore these variables were not entered in the model. The general linear model fitted the data (multiple $R^2 = 0.59$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.49$, F = 5.86, P < 0.0001)

 Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample

Measure	Mean (SD)	Max	Min
AGE (years)	74.9 (7.3)	89.8	57.56
TIME FROM ONSET (years)	9.2(5.0)	21.3	0.44
PDQ-39	31.7(14.1)	63.8	7.1
PDQ-39 mobility domain	48.3(25.2)	95	2.5
H&Y	2.8(0.6)	4	2
10MWT (s)	13.3(7.4)	50.3	6.94
TUG (s)	19.5(12.9)	72	7
BBS	44.2(9.7)	56	17
ABC	52.4(21.6)	97.5	13.13
FOGQ	12.6(4.4)	21	2
UPDRS part 3	19.8(7.3)	37	4
MMSE	27.6(1.9)	30	22.4

Data are represented as means, standard deviations (SD), max and min values *PDQ-39* Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire, *PDQ-39* mobility domain Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire - mobility domain, *H&Y* Hoehn and Yahr, *10MWT* 10-m walking test, *TUG* Timed up and go, *BBS* Berg Balance Scale, *ABC* Activities Balance Confidence, *FOGQ* Freezing of gait questionnaire, *UPDRS part 3* Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale-Motor Section part 3, *MMSE* Mini Mental State Examination

	PDQ-39 mobility domain (baseline)	P-value
GENDER	0.37	0.019*
AGE (years)	0.12	0.452
TIME FROM ONSET (years)	0.15	0.357
H&Y	0.38	0.015*
10MWT(s)	0.46	0.003*
TUG(s)	0.47	0.002*
BBS	-0.40	0.009*
ABC	- 0.63	< 0.001*
FOGQ	0.53	< 0.001*
UPDRS part 3	0.28	0.073*

PDQ39 mobility domain Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire-mobility domain, H&Y Hoehn and Yahr, 10MWT 10-m walking test, TUG Timed up and go, BBS Berg Balance Scale, ABC Activities Balance Confidence, FOGQ Freezing of gait questionnaire, UPDRS part 3 Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale-Motor Section part 3, MMSE Mini Mental State Examination. * P-value < 0.2

-0.06

MMSE

and the ABC (B = -0.51, CI = -0.86 to 0.15, R² = 0.41, *P* = 0.005) and the FOGQ (B = 2.38, CI = 1.03 to 3.73, R² = 0.07, *P* = 0.001) were significantly associated with the PDQ-39 mobility domain at baseline.

Aim2-development of a model to predict changes in HRQoL mobility domain of PDQ-39 after rehabilitation

Table 4 shows correlations and *p*-values between demographic and clinical characteristics and PDQ-39 mobility domain change score.

No statistically significant correlations were found between PDQ-39 mobility domain change score and age, time from onset, 10MWT, TUG, BBS, ABC and UPDRS part 3 (Table 4). Statistically significant correlations (P <0.2) were instead found between PDQ-39 mobility change score and gender, H&Y, FOGQ, MMSE and PDQ-39 mobility domain at baseline and these variables were entered in the multivariate model.

Change score on the PDQ-39 mobility domain was $-6.2 (\pm 14.6)$ points suggesting an overall improvement in HRQoL after rehabilitation (Paired T Test, T = 2.56, P = 0.015).

Five subjects were missing at post assessment resulting in data of thirty-seven subjects being included in the second multivariate model (Table 5) predicting rehabilitation outcome of HRQoL in people with PD.

The general linear model fitted the data (multiple $R^2 = 0.40$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.31 F = 4.24$, P < 0.004) and Gender (B = -5.12, CI = -9.86 to -0.39, $R^2 = 0.23$, P = 0.034), H&Y (B = 10.93, CI = + 3.27 to + 18.61, $R^2 = 0.22$, P = 0.006) e PDQ-39 mobility domain at baseline (B = -0.38, CI = -0.63 to -0.14, $R^2 = 0.55$, P = 0.002) were significant

0.729

Table 2 Correlations (Pearson) between demographic and
clinical variables and PDQ-39 mobility domain at baseline

Table 3 Model analysis between demographic and clinical variables and PDQ-39 mobility domain at baseline

DEPENDENT VARIABLE	multiple R^2	adjusted \ensuremath{R}^2	F	P value	INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	В	Cl (-95 to +95%)	R^2	P value
PDQ-39 mobility domain (baseline)	0.59	0.49	5.86	< 0.0001*	Gender	-2.05	-9.34 to +5.24	0.30	0.571
					H&Y	0.01	-15.55 to + 15.58	0.58	0.998
					TUG	36.16	-29.78 to + 102.1	0.84	0.272
					10MWT	-9.50	-86.31 to +67.30	0.81	0.802
					BBS	0.00004	-0.002 to + 0.003	0.68	0.758
					ABC	-0.51	- 0.86 to + 0.15	0.41	0.005*
					FOGQ	2.38	+ 1.03 to +3.73	0.07	0.001*
					UPDRS part 3	-0.26	-1.45 to +0.91	0.52	0.645

PDQ-39 mobility domain Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire mobility domain, H&Y Hoehn and Yahr, TUG Timed up and go, 10MWT 10-m walking test, BBS Berg Balance Scale, ABC Activities Balance Confidence, FOGQ Freezing of gait questionnaire, UPDRS part 3 Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale-Motor Section part 3. * P-value < 0.05

predictors of a change on the PDQ-39 mobility domain after rehabilitation.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to develop two models with baseline demographic and clinical variables predicting, respectively, to mobility-relate HRQoL and its change after rehabilitation in people with PD. The main results indicate that mobility aspect of HRQoL is mostly associated with subject's perception of gait and balance disorders (measured by FOG-Q and ABC questionnaires respectively). Conversely, improvement in mobility related HRQoL following rehabilitation was predicted by a worse PDQ-39 mobility domain at baseline, higher disease severity, and being male suggesting that even subjects in their later stage of the disease can improve

Table 4 Correlations (Pearson) between demographic and clinical variables and PDQ-39 mobility domain change score

	PDQ-39 mobility	P-value
	domain (change score)	
GENDER	0.21	0.20*
AGE (years)	0.14	0.41
TIME FROM ONSET (years)	-0.15	0.39
H&Y	0.22	0.20*
10MWT(s)	0.09	0.58
TUG(s)	-0.04	0.81
BBS	-0.06	0.70
ABC	0.09	0.58
FOGQ	-0.27	0.10*
UPDRS part 3	0.01	0.93
MMSE	0.23	0.17*
PDQ39 mobility domain (baseline)	-0.37	0.02*

PDQ39 mobility domain Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire-mobility domain, H&Y Hoehn and Yahr, 10MWT 10-m walking test, TUG Timed up and go, BBS Berg Balance Scale, ABC Activities Balance Confidence, FOGQ Freezing of gait questionnaire, UPDRS part 3 Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale-Motor Section part 3, MMSE Mini Mental State Examination. * P-value < 0.2 mobility related QoL. This information is useful to define criteria to include people with PD in rehabilitation program having mobility related HRQoL as the main outcome, to set rehabilitation goals and to identify causes of failure to recover.

Aim1-assessment of the relationship between HRQoL mobility domain of PDQ-39 at baseline and clinical and demographic characteristics

Univariate correlation analysis showed moderate correlation between mobility related HRQoL and gait and balance disorders. This was true both for self-administered tests inquiring on subject's perception of their balance confidence (ABC) and freezing of gait (FOG-Q), and rater-administered tests assessing static and dynamic balance (BBS and TUG) and walking skills (10MWT). Conversely, mild correlations were found between mobility aspect of HRQoL and overall disability (H&Y and UPDRS III), cognitive function (MMSE) and demographic characteristics (age and time from onset), suggesting that limitations in walking and balance are more specifically associated to mobility related HRQoL [3]. These results are in line with previous studies [9, 21-25]investigating parameters affecting HRQoL in people with PD. Some authors [9] found that postural instability and gait disorders predicted overall HRQoL, others [23] pointed out that mobility disorders, mostly start hesitation, freezing, festination and difficulty in turning, are related to HRQoL.

When predictors were entered in the first general linear model balance self-perception (ABC) and freezing of gait (FOG-Q) were better predictors of mobility aspect of HRQoL than rater-administered tests, even after controlling for all the other variables included in the model. Along those lines, previous studies [26–29] found that balance self-perception is associated with fear of falling in people with PD and is one of the main predictors of HRQoL. The relationship between balance self-perception, participation restrictions and HRQoL is also supported by studies

Table 5 Model analysis between demographic and clinical variables and PDQ-39 mobility domain change score

DEPENDENT VARIABLE	Multiple R^2	Adjusted R^2	F	P value	INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	В	CL (-95 to +95%)	R^2	P value
PDQ-39 mobility domain (change score)	0.40	0.31	4.24	0.004*	Gender	-5.12	-9.86 to -0.39	0.23	0.034*
					H&Y	10.93	+3.27 to +18.61	0.22	0.006*
					MMSE	1.21	-1.17 to + 3.60	0.018	0.307
					FOGQ	-0.13	- 1.28 to + 1.03	0.36	0.824
					PDQ-39 mobility domain (baseline)	-0.38	-0.63 to -0.14	0.55	0.002*

PDQ-39 mobility domain Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire mobility domain, H&Y Hoehn and Yahr, MMSE Mini Mental State Examination, FOGQ Freezing of gait questionnaire. * P-value < 0.05

suggesting that people with PD with low balance self-perception as measured by the ABC are more likely to use an assistive device to walk [27, 30] to improve the sense of safety increasing their mobility, independence and, consequently, HRQoL.

Besides low level of balance confidence, also freezing of gait was associated to lower mobility related to HRQoL. This result is confirmed by previous studies showing the impact of freezing on QoL in PD [31, 32]. Some authors [31, 33], showed that HRQoL decreases proportionally with the severity of FOGQ scores and found that freezing has an independent, direct and significant impact on HRQoL in people with PD even controlling for gait and mobility disorders. This can be due to the nature of freezing, consisting in an episodic event that causes a sudden and unpredictable inability to maintain walking [32]. Often, people with PD are not prepared for this event that can lead to perceived loss of control on their own body, compromising mobility and leading to loss of independence and increased risk of falling [31, 34, 35].

Moreover, freezing of gait can have social consequences because frequent episodes in crowded situations, during social events or activities of everyday life become a source of stress, embarrassment and frustration with consequences on emotional well-being [31, 36, 37]. Thus, it is possible that loss of control and motor difficulties caused by freezing of gait, combined with psychological distress, are reflected in a worse mobility related QoL. These findings underline the importance of efforts to alleviate freezing of gait and its related consequences, such as the negative impact on mobility aspect of HRQoL in people with PD.

Aim2-development of a model to predict changes in HRQoL mobility domain of PDQ-39 after rehabilitation

Univariate correlation analysis showed that changes in mobility domain of HRQoL is mostly correlated to HRQoL mobility domain at baseline evaluation. Also, the degree of disability (H&Y), cognitive function (MMSE) and demographic characteristics (gender) were correlated with changes in mobility aspect of HRQoL. The results of the second general linear model taking in to account univariate correlations showed that the PDQ-39 mobility domain at baseline, severity of disease (H&Y) and gender were significant predictors for changes in HRQoL.

PDQ-39 mobility domain at baseline was found to be the best predictor. In particular those PD patients having worse HRQoL mobility domain at baseline tended to improve more in HRQoL mobility after treatment. This trend was confirmed by studies considering different populations of subjects. For example, Asiri et al. [38] found that the most impaired post-stroke subjects showed larger degree of improvement in gait speed after home-based training in subjects with lower HRQoL related to mobility at baseline. Similarly, Altenburg et al. [39] found larger improvement after cardio-pulmonary rehabilitation in patients affected by chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with low initial exercise capacity. It is possible that PD subjects that were less affected had a floor effect on the PDQ-39 (12% of the sample) masking possible improvements in HRQoL. [40] On the other hand, worse baseline values might indicate a bigger potential for improvement. We can also speculate that people with PD with low HRQoL related to mobility at baseline have entered a downward spiral of avoidance in engaging activities of daily living, thus increasing participation restriction, deconditioning and demotivation. In this context rehabilitation may have increased ability in participating in social events and motivation, maybe decreasing depression. Unfortunately, we did not take in account these psychological and non-motor symptoms that are considered as predictors of HRQoL outcome as reported in recent studies [7, 41-43].

Disease severity was found to be the second best statistically significant predictor for rehabilitation outcome in HRQoL. PD subjects with moderate disease severity (H&Y between 2 and 3), involving axial motor symptoms with balance and gait deficits, seemed to improve more their mobility aspect of HRQoL after rehabilitation. Our results are in line with a systematic review [7] suggesting that disease severity and motor features including gait impairments were the major predictors of poor HRQoL in people with PD in combination with non-motor characteristics as depression and treatmentinduced complications. Moreover, contemporary literature [44] pointed out that factors as disease severity influences HRQoL but a better management strategy can slow down or lower their negative effects. A recent study by Rafferty et al., [45] demonstrated that long-term HRQoL benefit following rehabilitation was greater in people having moderate to advanced PD severity compared with those with mild PD severity. It is possible that rehabilitation of the more impaired subjects leads to larger improvement in mobility and consequently in perception of mobility, thus increasing their confidence in performing activities considered too difficult before rehabilitation leading to reduced disability and improved HRQoL.

Gender was the third significant predictor for rehabilitation outcome in mobility aspect of HRQoL. Males had higher PDQ-39 mobility domain change scores following rehabilitation with a mean improvement of -11.5 points, compared to -0.4 points for female. As previously demonstrated, people with PD showed gender-related differences in disease experience and HRQoL perception factors that can have important clinical implications [46]. For example, being female has a negative impact on drug and surgical outcome in PD treatments [47-49], with females also showing poorer short and long-term motor outcome after subthalamic stimulation [47, 50]. Despite our results, contemporary literature stated that the effects of demographic characteristics (gender, age, level of education) on HRQoL in PD subjects are controversial [44] and considering our small sample size we should be careful to generalize our results to the whole PD population. Although gender has been shown to influence brain anatomy, function, hormonal modulation, gene expression and levodopa bioavailability [49, 51, 52], further studies are needed to better understand role of gender in rehabilitation outcome.

Keeping into account our results on demographic and clinical (motor) factors and the recent growing evidence demonstrating the impact of non-motor characteristics on lives of people with PD [53] an efficient strategy to maintain and improve HRQoL in people with PD should consist of a holistic, multidisciplinary, personalized and patient-centered approach with timely administration of palliative care and effectual involvement of caregivers and family members [44].

Limitation and conclusions

First and main limitation of this study is the sample size that was too small and, therefore, the results could not be generalized to all PD subjects and reduced the power of the study. Further studies with larger sample size are needed to get firm conclusion on predictors of HRQoL improvements after rehabilitation. Second, analysis of follow-up data should be included to understand long term predictors of changes in QoL related to mobility. Third, assessments were completed only during on medication state. Evaluation in off-medication state may give more information about the relationship between motor symptoms and mobility aspect of QoL. Fourth, we did not take in account psychological and non-motor symptoms and a small percentage of people with PD (12%) showed floor effects on the PDQ-39, leading to bias in data analysis.

Even with these limitations our study shows that balance confidence and freezing of gait are associated with the mobility aspect of QoL. Changes in mobility (as assessed by PDQ-39) are likely to be greater in males, in people at higher stages of the disease and in people with more severe mobility limitation (as assessed by PDQ-39) before rehabilitation. Results might be different when considering different outcomes or different measures for the same outcome (performance mobility test instead of self-report questionnaires).

Considering that HRQoL is a multi-dimensional construct, further research with larger sample size will be needed to find other predictors of the HRQoL domains in addition to mobility domain.

Abbreviations

10MWT: 10-m walking test; ABC: Activities Balance Confidence; BBS: Berg Balance Scale; FOGQ: Freezing of gait questionnaire; H&Y: Hoehn and Yahr; HRQoL: Health Related Quality of like; MMSE: Mini Mental State Examination; PDQ39: Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire; QoL: Quality of life; TUG: Timed up and go; UPDRS part 3: Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale-Motor Section part 3

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

The study was supported by the Italian Ministry of Health: grant no. GR-2009-1604984.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

TB treated the subjects during rehabilitation phase, analyzed and interpreted the patient data and wrote the article, EG wrote the article, RP assessed the subjects, JJ critical read the main document, MF critical read the main document, DC analyzed and interpreted the patient data and wrote the article, IC developed the feedback systems used during rehabilitation phase, All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Don Gnocchi Foundation.

Consent for publication

Subjects signed an informed consent form before the beginning of the study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 21 February 2018 Accepted: 11 December 2018 Published online: 27 December 2018

References

- Lee A, Gilbert RM. Epidemiology of Parkinson disease. Neurol Clin. 2016;34: 955–65.
- Opara JA, Brola W, Leonardi M, Błaszczyk B. Quality of life in Parkinson's disease. J Med Life. 2012;5:375–81.
- Stegemöller EL, Nocera J, Malaty I, Shelley M, Okun MS, Hass CJ. NPF quality improvement initiative investigators timed up and go, cognitive, and quality-of-life correlates in Parkinson's disease. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2014; 95:649–55.
- Klepac N, Trkulja V, Relja M, Babić T. Is quality of life in non-demented Parkinson's disease patients related to cognitive performance? A clinicbased cross-sectional study. Eur J Neurol. 2008;15:128–33.
- Karimi M, Brazier J. Health, health-related quality of life, and quality of life: what is the difference? PharmacoEconomics. 2016;34:645–9.
- Visser M, van Rooden SM, Verbaan D, Marinus J, Stiggelbout AM, van Hilten JJ. A comprehensive model of health-related quality of life in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol. 2008;255:1580–7.
- Soh SE, Morris ME, JL MG. Determinants of health-related quality of life in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2011;17:1–9.
- Jenkinson C, Fitzpatrick R, Peto V, Greenhall R, Hyman N. The Parkinson's disease questionnaire (PDQ-39): development and validation of a Parkinson's disease summary index score. Age Ageing. 1997;26:353–7.
- Soh SE, McGinley J, Morris ME. Measuring quality of life in Parkinson's disease: selection of-an-appropriate health-related quality of life instrument. Physiotherapy. 2011;97:83–9.
- Christofoletti G, ME MN, Campbell MC, Duncan RP, Earhart GM. Investigation of factors impacting mobility and gait in Parkinson disease. Hum Mov Sci. 2016;49:308–14.
- 11. Amboni M, Barone P, Hausdorff JM. Cognitive contributions to gait and falls: evidence and implications. Mov Disord. 2013;28:1520–33.
- Carpinella I, Cattaneo D, Bonora G, Bowman T, Martina L, Montesano A, Ferrarin M. Wea-rable sensor-based biofeedback training for balance and gait in Parkinson disease: a pilot ran-domized controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2017;98:622–30.
- Amatachaya S, Naewla S, Srisim K, Arrayawichanon P, Siritaratiwat W. Concurrent validity of the 10-meter walk test as compared with the 6minute walk test in patients with spinal cord injury at various levels of ability. Spinal Cord. 2014;52:333–6.
- Huang SL, Hsieh CL, Wu RM, Tai CH, Lin CH, Lu WS. Minimal detectable change of the timed "up & go" test and the dynamic gait index in people with Parkinson disease. Phys Ther. 2011;91:114–21.
- Qutubuddin AA, Pegg PO, Cifu DX, Brown R, McNamee S, Carne W. Validating the berg balance scale for patients with Parkinson's disease: a key to rehabilitation evaluation. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2005;86:789–92.
- 16. Powell LE, Myers AM. The activities-specific balance confidence (ABC) scale. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 1995;50A:M28–34.
- Giladi N, Shabtai H, Simon ES, Biran S, Tal J, Korczyn AD. Construction of freezing of gait questionnaire for patients with parkinsonism. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2000;6:165–70.
- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. Mini-mental state: a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res. 1975;12(3):189–98.
- Peto V, Jenkinson C, Fitzpatrick R. PDQ-39: a review of the development, validation and application of a Parkinson's disease quality of life questionnaire and its associated measures. J Neurol. 1998;245 Suppl 1:S10–4.
- Lemeshow S, Hosmer DW. A review of goodness of fit statistics for use in the development of logistic regression models. Am J Epidemiol. 1982;115: 92–106.
- Wielinski CL, Erickson-Davis C, Wichmann R, Walde-Douglas M, Parashos SA. Falls and injuries resulting from falls among patients with Parkinson's disease and other parkinsonian syndromes. Mov Disord. 2005;20:410–5.

- Bloem BR, Grimbergen YA, Cramer M, Willemsen M, Zwinderman AH. Prospective assessment of falls in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol. 2001;248: 950–8.
- Rahman S, Griffin HJ, Quinn NP, Jahanshahi M. Quality of life in Parkinson's disease: the relative importance of the symptoms. Mov Disord. 2008;23: 1428–34.
- Gómez-Esteban JC, Zarranz JJ, Lezcano E, Tijero B, Luna A, Velasco F, Rouco I, Garamendi I. Influence of motor symptoms upon the quality of life of patients with Parkinson's disease. Eur Neurol. 2007;57:161–5.
- Lyons KE, Pahwa R, Troster AI, Koller WC. A comparison of Parkinson's disease symptoms and self-reported functioning and well being. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 1997;3:207–9.
- 26. Bryant MS, Rintala DH, Hou JG, Protas EJ. Influence of fear of falling on gait and balance in Parkinson's disease. Disabil Rehabil. 2014;36:744–8.
- Bryant MS, Rintala DH, Graham JE, Hou JG, Protas EJ. Determinants of use of a walking de-vice in persons with Parkinson's disease. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2014;95:1940–5.
- Bryant MS, Rintala DH, Hou JG, Protas EJ. Relationship of falls and fear of falling to activity limitations and physical inactivity in Parkinson's disease. J Aging Phys. 2015;23:187–93.
- Grimbergen YA, Schrag A, Mazibrada G, Borm GF, Bloem BR. Impact of falls and fear of falling on health-related quality of life in patients with Parkinson's disease. J Parkinsons Dis. 2013;3:409–13.
- Constantinescu R, Leonard C, Deeley C, Kurlan R. Assistive devices for gait in Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2007;13:133–8.
- Moore O, Peretz C, Giladi N. Freezing of gait affects quality of life of peoples with Parkinson's disease beyond its relationships with mobility and gait. Mov Disord. 2007;22(15):2192–5.
- 32. Walton CC, Shine JM, Hall JM, et al. The major impact of freezing of gait on quality of life in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol. 2015;262(1):108–15.
- Perez-Lloret S, Negre-Pages L, Damier P, et al. Prevalence, determinants, and effect on quality of life of freezing of gait in Parkinson disease. JAMA Neurol. 2014;71:884–90.
- 34. Fahn S. The freezing phenomenon in parkinsonism. Adv Neurol. 1995;67:53-63.
- 35. Bloem BR, Hausdorff JM, Visser JE, et al. Falls and freezing of gait in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. 2004;19:871–84.
- Giladi N, McMahon D, Przedborski S, et al. Motor blocks in Parkinson's disease. Neurology. 1992;42:333–9.
- 37. Okuma Y. Freezing of gait in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol. 2006;253:27–32.
- Asiri FY, Marchetti GF, Ellis JL, et al. Predictors of functional and gait outcomes for persons poststroke undergoing home-based rehabilitation. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2014;23:1856–64.
- Altenburg WA, de Greef MH, ten Hacken NH, et al. A better response in exercise capacity after pulmonary rehabilitation in more severe COPD patients. Respir Med. 2012;106(5):694–700.
- Hagell P, Nygren C. The 39 item Parkinson's disease questionnaire (PDQ-39) revisited: implications for evidence based medicine. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2007;78(11):1191–8.
- Santos-García D, De la Fuente-Fernández R. Impact of non-motor symptoms on health-related and perceived quality of life in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Sci. 2013;332:136–40.
- Schrag A, Jahanshahi M, Quinn N. What contributes to quality of life in patients with Parkinson's disease? J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2000;69: 308–12.
- 43. Menon B, Nayar R, Kumar S, et al. Parkinson's disease, depression, and quality-of-life. Indian J Psychol Med. 2015;37:144–8.
- Fereshtehnejad SM. Strategies to maintain quality of life among people with Parkinson's disease: what works? Neurodegener Dis Manag. 2016;6: 399–415.
- Rafferty MR, Schmidt PN, Luo ST, et al. Regular exercise, quality of life, and mobility in Parkinson's disease: a longitudinal analysis of National Parkinson Foundation quality improvement initiative data. J Parkinsons Dis. 2016;7: 193–202.
- Lubomski M, Louise Rushworth R, Lee W, et al. Sex differences in Parkinson's disease. J Clin Neurosci. 2014;21(9):1503–6.
- Romito LM, Contarino FM, Albanese A. Transient gender-related effects in Parkinson's disease patients with subthalamic stimulation. J Neurol. 2010; 257:603–8.
- Cantuti-Castelvetri I, Keller-McGandy C, Bouzou B, et al. Effects of gender on nigral gene expression and Parkinson disease. Neurobiol Dis. 2007;26:606–14.

- 49. Cahill L. Why sex matters for neuroscience. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2006;7:477–84 Review.
- Accolla E, Caputo E, Cogiamanian F, et al. Gender differences in patients with Parkinson's disease treated with subthalamic deep brain stimulation. Mov Disord. 2007;22:1150–6.
- 51. Shulman LM. Gender differences in Parkinson's disease. Gend Med. 2007;4:8–18.
- Kowal SL, Dall TM, Chakrabarti R, et al. The current and projected economic burden of Parkinson's disease in the United States. Mov Disord. 2013;28:311–8.
- Todorova A, Jenner P, Ray Chaudhuri K. Non-motor Parkinson's: integral to motor Parkinson's, yet often neglected. Pract Neurol. 2014;14:310–22.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

